

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,607	02/10/2004	Alois Conte	16638	1613
4859 73	590 04/12/2005		EXAMINER	
MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC			TRINH, MINH N	
ONE MARITIN	ME PLAZA FOURTH FLO TREET	OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOLEDO, OH			3729	
			DATE MAILED: 04/12/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/775,607	CONTE, ALOIS				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Trinh	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			lross			
			1633			
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP 1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing			cation applicant			
must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The Derived for reply expires anoths from the mailing date of this Anoevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chappeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chappeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chappeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chappeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chappeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chappeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chappeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)) and the filed within the time period of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)).	Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, we all fee) in compliance with 37 CFR are reply must be filed within one of the reply Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). In on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing day. Leal, but prior to the date of filing an 1.37 must be filed within two months CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, who date of the final rejection of the fee. The appropriate of the final rejection, who do not be the final offite of the final rejection, who do not be final of filling appeal brief. The Not is of the date of filling	ication in est for Continued iods: nichever is later. In ion. FILED WITHIN ate extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a even if timely filed tice of Appeal the Notice of			
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	•	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			. 12 44			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 16-18. Claim(s) objected to: 3-5 and 12-14. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-11 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	Λ			

MINHTRINH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

The prior art references to Mink in view of Tega et al disclose the claimed cable processing device as recited in details in the rejected claims 1, 2, 6-11 and 15 (see prior final Office action paragraphs 4-6). Further, the amendment to the claims has overcome the 112 rejections.